

# Prioritizing Development

## Q1 Please identify the organization for which you are responding

Answered: 82 Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	UC San Diego	9/27/2016 10:15 AM
2	Mojave Desert Archives	9/16/2016 7:54 PM
3	The Bancroft Library, University of California, Berkeley	9/16/2016 7:35 PM
4	University of Hawaii at Manoa	9/16/2016 7:33 PM
5	Museum of Chinese in America	9/16/2016 6:10 PM
6	University of Delaware	9/16/2016 5:55 PM
7	MIT Libraries	9/16/2016 4:09 PM
8	Washington Library at Mount Vernon	9/16/2016 4:05 PM
9	University of Kentucky Libraries	9/16/2016 3:49 PM
10	Wayne State University	9/16/2016 3:08 PM
11	Oklahoma State University	9/16/2016 2:43 PM
12	Mount Holyoke College	9/16/2016 2:14 PM
13	Yale University Library	9/16/2016 12:03 PM
14	Brown University	9/16/2016 11:48 AM
15	University of Nevada, Las Vegas	9/16/2016 11:17 AM
16	Clarion University of Pennsylvania	9/16/2016 10:43 AM
17	Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary	9/16/2016 10:01 AM
18	Wellesley College	9/16/2016 8:46 AM
19	College of William and Mary, Swem Library	9/16/2016 4:19 AM
20	Monmouth University	9/15/2016 5:52 PM
21	Stanford University	9/15/2016 4:16 PM
22	New York University	9/15/2016 3:03 PM
23	University of Nebraska at Omaha	9/15/2016 12:17 PM
24	University of Minnesota	9/15/2016 10:24 AM
25	American Academy in Rome	9/15/2016 9:30 AM
26	Georgetown University	9/13/2016 4:43 PM
27	Tufts University	9/13/2016 4:28 PM
28	Washington and Lee University	9/13/2016 4:18 PM
29	University of Virginia	9/13/2016 3:21 PM
30	University of California, Irvine	9/13/2016 1:52 PM
31	Hobart and William Smith Colleges	9/13/2016 1:10 PM
32	Prince of Peace Abbey	9/13/2016 12:43 PM
33	Minnesota Military Museum	9/13/2016 11:53 AM
34	Bucknell University	9/12/2016 7:33 PM
35	Arizona State University	9/12/2016 5:32 PM
36	University of Louisville	9/12/2016 10:20 AM
37	Michigan State University (TAC member responses)	9/12/2016 8:04 AM
38	National Library of Australia	9/9/2016 1:39 AM
39	johns hopkins university	9/7/2016 4:43 PM
40	Getty Research Institute	9/7/2016 1:01 PM
41	Smithsonian Institution	9/7/2016 9:39 AM
42	George Washington University	9/6/2016 1:31 PM
43	UC Riverside	8/31/2016 7:26 PM
44	Nicholls State University	8/30/2016 5:14 PM
45	City of Boston Archives	8/30/2016 1:46 PM
46	Virginia Military Institute Archives	8/30/2016 1:32 PM
47	Virginia Commonwealth University	8/30/2016 1:07 PM
48	University at Buffalo	8/30/2016 12:14 PM
49	University of Maryland Libraries	8/30/2016 10:33 AM
50	Brigham Young University	8/29/2016 1:02 PM
51	Louisiana State University	8/26/2016 6:22 PM
52	West Virginia University	8/26/2016 3:04 PM
53	Texas State Library and Archives Commission	8/25/2016 4:53 PM
54	University Archives, Texas State University	8/25/2016 3:20 PM
55	Intrepid Sea, Air & Space Museum	8/25/2016 2:44 PM


## Prioritizing Development

56	Harvard University	8/25/2016 12:20 PM
57	University of Kansas	8/25/2016 12:07 PM
58	Swarthmore College	8/24/2016 1:43 PM
59	University of Michigan	8/23/2016 10:37 AM
60	Princeton Theological Seminary	8/22/2016 9:50 AM
61	Rockefeller Archive Center	8/17/2016 9:10 AM
62	Middlebury College	8/16/2016 9:35 AM
63	Cambridge Public Library	8/15/2016 4:02 PM
64	Glencairn Museum	8/15/2016 3:18 PM
65	Wildlife Conservation Society Library and Archives	8/15/2016 3:03 PM
66	Penn State University	8/15/2016 2:32 PM
67	The Strong	8/15/2016 1:57 PM
68	Smith College	8/15/2016 1:56 PM
69	Grand Valley State University	8/15/2016 1:45 PM
70	Arkansas State University	8/15/2016 1:44 PM
71	Litchfield Historical Society	8/12/2016 10:11 AM
72	Duke University	8/10/2016 10:55 AM
73	Pennsylvania State Archives	8/5/2016 9:50 AM
74	CWRU Kelvin Smith Library	8/4/2016 9:42 AM
75	Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Archives	8/1/2016 11:29 AM
76	University of California, Santa Cruz	7/28/2016 7:21 PM
77	University of Tennessee at Chattanooga	7/27/2016 2:19 PM
78	Westminster Theological Seminary	7/27/2016 1:49 PM
79	Haverford College	7/27/2016 12:53 PM
80	Augustana College	7/27/2016 12:28 PM
81	Stephen F Austin State University	7/27/2016 12:12 PM
82	American Academy in Rome	7/27/2016 11:52 AM

# Prioritizing Development

## Q2 What is the ArchivesSpace membership level of the organization?

Answered: 82 Skipped: 0




Answer Choices	Responses	
Very Large	32.93%	27
Large	13.41%	11
Medium	15.85%	13
Small	19.51%	16
Very Small	18.29%	15
<b>Total</b>		<b>82</b>

# Prioritizing Development

**Q4 Please rank the epics listed below according to their importance for your organization. Rank the most important epic as no. 1, the second most important as no. 2, and so forth. To view the stories associated with an epic, click on the corresponding URL in the cover message for the survey.**

Answered: 82 Skipped: 0



	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	1
Accessions	14.63% 12	14.63% 12	7.32% 6	2.44% 2	3.66% 3	8.54% 7	3.66% 3	7.32% 6	6.10% 5	2.44% 2	3.66% 3	4.88% 4	1.22% 1	3.66% 3	3.66% 3	3.66% 3	1.22% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Resources	26.83% 22	14.63% 12	15.85% 13	2.44% 2	7.32% 6	4.88% 4	3.66% 3	3.66% 3	3.66% 3	3.66% 3	2.44% 2	0.00% 0	1.22% 1	1.22% 1	1.22% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1.22%
Digital Objects	6.10% 5	10.98% 9	8.54% 7	2.44% 2	1.22% 1	4.88% 4	4.88% 4	2.44% 2	7.32% 6	6.10% 5	0.00% 0	7.32% 6	6.10% 5	3.66% 3	9.76% 8	1.22% 1	9.76% 8	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Dates	0.00% 0	1.22% 1	2.44% 2	7.32% 6	6.10% 5	3.66% 3	10.98% 9	2.44% 2	9.76% 8	13.41% 11	7.32% 6	6.10% 5	8.54% 7	7.32% 6	0.00% 0	3.66% 3	2.44% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%

## Prioritizing Development

Extents	1.22% 1	2.44% 2	2.44% 2	3.66% 3	1.22% 1	1.22% 1	6.10% 5	7.32% 6	7.32% 6	7.32% 6	6.10% 5	12.20% 10	7.32% 6	13.41% 11	7.32% 6	4.88% 4	1.22% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Agents	0.00% 0	3.66% 3	4.88% 4	19.51% 16	7.32% 6	9.76% 8	6.10% 5	12.20% 10	3.66% 3	4.88% 4	7.32% 6	2.44% 2	4.88% 4	0.00% 0	2.44% 2	3.66% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Subjects	0.00% 0	1.22% 1	2.44% 2	4.88% 4	9.76% 8	2.44% 2	7.32% 6	7.32% 6	8.54% 7	3.66% 3	8.54% 7	12.20% 10	4.88% 4	7.32% 6	7.32% 6	3.66% 3	1.22% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Classifications	2.44% 2	1.22% 1	0.00% 0	1.22% 1	2.44% 2	1.22% 1	3.66% 3	0.00% 0	3.66% 3	7.32% 6	0.00% 0	10.98% 9	7.32% 6	9.76% 8	6.10% 5	9.76% 8	25.61% 21	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Container Management	1.22% 1	3.66% 3	6.10% 5	3.66% 3	9.76% 8	8.54% 7	7.32% 6	6.10% 5	7.32% 6	3.66% 3	8.54% 7	4.88% 4	6.10% 5	2.44% 2	4.88% 4	1.22% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%	1.22%
Locations	2.44% 2	3.66% 3	2.44% 2	2.44% 2	4.88% 4	8.54% 7	2.44% 2	8.54% 7	4.88% 4	6.10% 5	8.54% 7	3.66% 3	7.32% 6	4.88% 4	9.76% 8	8.54% 7	3.66% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Collection Management	2.44% 2	2.44% 2	3.66% 3	3.66% 3	1.22% 1	1.22% 1	6.10% 5	6.10% 5	4.88% 4	2.44% 2	9.76% 8	3.66% 3	9.76% 8	1.22% 1	7.32% 6	9.76% 8	17.07% 14	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Events	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	3.66% 3	6.10% 5	4.88% 4	2.44% 2	7.32% 6	4.88% 4	6.10% 5	6.10% 5	8.54% 7	13.41% 11	10.98% 9	9.76% 8	8.54% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1.22%
Rights Management	1.22% 1	0.00% 0	1.22% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	3.66% 3	2.44% 2	4.88% 4	4.88% 4	7.32% 6	3.66% 3	13.41% 11	10.98% 9	9.76% 8	20.73% 17	8.54% 7	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Imports	8.54% 7	0.00% 0	8.54% 7	12.20% 10	8.54% 7	6.10% 5	8.54% 7	3.66% 3	4.88% 4	2.44% 2	4.88% 4	6.10% 5	1.22% 1	2.44% 2	3.66% 3	3.66% 3	7.32% 6	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1.22%
Exports	6.10% 5	7.32% 6	9.76% 8	6.10% 5	9.76% 8	8.54% 7	7.32% 6	9.76% 8	3.66% 3	4.88% 4	7.32% 6	0.00% 0	3.66% 3	2.44% 2	3.66% 3	2.44% 2	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Reports	8.54% 7	14.63% 12	3.66% 3	8.54% 7	10.98% 9	9.76% 8	3.66% 3	6.10% 5	2.44% 2	6.10% 5	3.66% 3	3.66% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	6.10% 5	1.22% 1	3.66% 3	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	1.22%
Staff Interface	10.98% 9	10.98% 9	13.41% 11	12.20% 10	4.88% 4	7.32% 6	2.44% 2	4.88% 4	2.44% 2	4.88% 4	6.10% 5	1.22% 1	2.44% 2	4.88% 4	1.22% 1	1.22% 1	1.22% 1	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Application Management	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%
Migration	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	16.6%
Plugins	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00% 0	0.00%

## Prioritizing Development

**Q5 Please indicate the "pain points" that the ArchivesSpace application has for your organization. For example, "the inadequacy of the public interface is preventing full deployment of the application" or "the rights module needs to support granular permission/restriction statements," etc. Enter "Not Applicable" if you repository is not experiencing any pain points.**

Answered: 82 Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	ArchivesSpace has data modelling that is much more sophisticated than the Archivists' Toolkit, which is great. But the staff interface hasn't caught up, and it has tons of limitations (in addition to being ugly).	9/27/2016 10:15 AM
2	Not Applicable	9/16/2016 7:54 PM
3	The difficulty of batch importing events data is preventing full migration of our legacy data. The inability to edit the controlled value list for rights_statement_IP_status does not allow us to describe the intellectual property status of collection items at the detail we need. The inability to add display values for controlled value terms through the user interface prevents us from using those value lists effectively.	9/16/2016 7:35 PM
4	[I conducted a separate online survey to collect feedback from staff at my institutions. The following are comments submitted (for #6 also). Please note that some of the comments may not be relevant.] - Reference paging/ use statistics for collections - When deleting an archival object from a resource, it collapses the whole object tree, so say if you were working at the sub-sub-sub series level, you have to go back and navigate there again. - When using rapid data entry mode, if you choose certain columns to be visible and others not, the next time you log in to work again, those preferences are gone, and you have to re-select which columns you want again. There should be a way to store those preferences for future use. - When adding a note to a resource, the "Content" text box in the sub-note is fixed height, making it very tedious to edit a long note. The box should be expandable, in the way that the "Title" text box is. (Ref. <a href="https://archivespace.atlassian.net/browse/AR-1220">https://archivespace.atlassian.net/browse/AR-1220</a> ).	9/16/2016 7:33 PM
5	I would like to insert URL somewhere in the description, a similar function as the digital object does, but instead of an image, lead to a webpage; In the instance, the digital object is not easy to control as the location, you have to do all the way down to the end to create or modify	9/16/2016 6:10 PM
6	Need to be able to print Accessions; Issues with searching/browsing Accessions/Resources	9/16/2016 5:55 PM
7	the inadequacy of the Staff interface is very problematic for our Reference archivists to do their job. For example, they now have to click and open every single Archival Object that is part of a search result set because the associated Resource ID doesn't show in the results. This is a very large time suck that was not a problem in ATK where the list of results showed the collection ID for every folder/item that came back as part of a search result set.	9/16/2016 4:09 PM
8	We do wish the public interface was more user friendly and represented the data more clearly. The pdf export finding aids also do not seem to format correct - most specifically with the "General Note" section appearing larger than the title. We wish there were more types of reports and that unique reports (where we choose fields to include) were an option.	9/16/2016 4:05 PM
9	Reporting is an area of pain, MARC export, events are still very clunky and we are concerned that they add little value.	9/16/2016 3:49 PM
10	* Getting our inventories into resource records (please see #6). * Would love to be able to create the related event in the resource or accession record. GREAT ideal * Would be helpful for agents to provide a common name field, like a MARC 400 or 410 field. * Public interface work- although we won't be able to make use of it until our inventories are imported.	9/16/2016 3:08 PM
11	Poor public interface and not user friendly. Database errors with duplicate ID's. Don't like the new container management plugin in 1.5. Rapid data entry freezes - may be related to our duplicate ID problem. Be able to add standard language in Notes without having to copy and paste in each resource record.	9/16/2016 2:43 PM
12	We are just getting started with AS so haven't encountered many obstacles yet, but a significant pain point (or really workflow we need to fully develop) is exporting/extracting digital object records for import/ingest into our digital collection. A more robust MODS export would be great.	9/16/2016 2:14 PM
13	In the latest version of ArchivesSpace 1.5, there is a bug (which has been reported in Jira) that makes it so that the "Manage Top Containers" function can only be used by users who have repository manager or sys admin permissions. Because of the regularity with which our staff currently uses this functionality in 1.4.2 (with the Yale container management plug-in installed), we cannot upgrade to 1.5 until this bug is fixed.	9/16/2016 12:03 PM
14	Not Applicable	9/16/2016 11:48 AM
15	In order to develop cross-departmental collaboration we very much need better exports and therefore bulk exports and the ability to export other types of metadata standards is very important. The reports feature is also entirely inadequate. We are unable to use ArchivesSpace to produce anything useful and this is not a sustainable model for use. Finally, we are waiting to deploy the public interface until improvements are made. We are closely watching the work occurring in that area.	9/16/2016 11:17 AM
16	not applicable	9/16/2016 10:43 AM
17	Not Applicable	9/16/2016 10:01 AM
18	When you search for something in AS and get a list of results, and you view each result, there is no visual indicator (changed color, for example) to indicate that you have already viewed that result. It is very hard to keep track of which items you've looked at if their titles are identical, as they often are. Also, it would be nice to be able to move sequentially through lists of results without going back to the main list (unless there's a way to do this that I don't know about). Also, it would be nice to be able to add lists to accession records.	9/16/2016 8:46 AM
19	Public interface, automatic default language to save on time on accessioning, exporting, connecting to Aeon	9/16/2016 4:19 AM

## Prioritizing Development

20	Application training for in-house staff and IT support has been an ongoing challenge for us, being a medium/small repository. It's understandable that larger institutions with well established archival programs that have formidable support and staffing would tend to drive much of the application development. But, as a smaller repository, we are always several steps behind those at the cutting edge and are often forced to rely on technical assistance (for upgrades, e.g.) from a local, institutional IT team that is always busy, and usually totally unfamiliar with ASpace. In general, the video tutorials released over the past 12 months have been very helpful in addressing some of our "pain points" associated with training. But, before the next major upgrade, you might consider creating tutorials about the release that would be targeted towards two audiences: archivists, and IT or systems staff--something that each of these groups could review on their own, beforehand, inasmuch as (at least here) we frequently feel as though we are speaking different languages.	9/15/2016 5:52 PM
21	Limited browse/search capability in Staff Interface; inability to easily import component records/digital objects into resource records via Excel/CSV or plugin; batch updating is lacking.	9/15/2016 4:16 PM
22	Our pain points don't prevent us from using the application but mean some users can't perform some functions and bespoke scripts and/or manual workarounds are needed: a) reports not working in 1.5.1 b) bugs and irregularities with the EAD and MARC exports and uncertainty about the reliability / up-to-dateness of the export mapping documentation c) scattered API documentation (e.g. primary API documentation, examples shared on lists, HM screencasts, and a blog post) .	9/15/2016 3:03 PM
23	-Because location information is so deeply buried in the staff interface and not visible in the public interface when signed in, it slows retrieving material. -The new container functionality in 1.5 needs further development to make the process of assigning boxes to locations easier. Containers assigned in an accession are not transferring to the spawned resource. -The provenance note from an accession record should transfer to a custodial history note in a spawned resource. -User interface in general is not intuitive for users. -When searching a keyword, the results list includes irrelevant search results. For example, two professors from the same department are included in the results list because both are linked to the same department, but only one may actually mention the term searched.	9/15/2016 12:17 PM
24	1. Inability to quickly rearrange and modify components in a Resource record. a. limited to 20 components to move at a time b. not able to specify where in the inventory a new series/subseries/component record should be populated (that it automatically creates it at the end of the list is unbelievably annoying) c. Still issues with RDE timing out, fields running off screen, inability to edit and update templates. 2. Public Interface. Enough said. 3. Container management functionality is really nice, but also just creates another step/record type needing to be created. 4. Staff interface and display: would really like to be able to SAVE and set defaults on browse record types. Would also like to be able to search by unique identifier.	9/15/2016 10:24 AM
25	The export limitations prevent us from fully coordinating the content between ArchivesSpace and the library catalog--we would like one to one matching, i.e. generate a MARC record in our ILS for every archival object in AS and generate an item record in the ILS for every item in AS.	9/15/2016 9:30 AM
26	The limited options for Application Management (esp user management) made our migration more difficult. We have had a desire to customize the interface when a user is browsing within a specific collection (custom help links, custom logos).	9/13/2016 4:43 PM
27	Tufts has a large number of digital object and records and agent links, and this slows down response time and indexing. Better support for larger instances of ASpace would be great. Also, we would appreciate better integration of digital objects into the archival object record, so that it isn't necessary to click on the do instance, but the user can see all of the information associated with the digital object in the same screen as the archival object - because we use the digital object module a lot having to click back and forth is time consuming and annoying.	9/13/2016 4:28 PM
28	We hate having to change the unused Grandchild Type back to Blank every time we edit a child record.	9/13/2016 4:18 PM
29	[1] Some admin information is spread in too many different places. e.g. Global Preferences, My Repository Preferences, User Preference Defaults 3 different menus anchored in 2 different places, and no view of the function result of all settings. These need to be combined into one view. [2] Setting user access for 20 or more users for several repositories requires hundreds of mouse clicks and navigation. You can't paste a list of user names into group member list for example - you have to repeat (cut,paste,click-add) for each user. Perhaps a matrix display of users x repos with group checkboxes in each cell would be more efficient. [3] Users should be able to change their own passwords: <a href="https://archivespace.atlassian.net/browse/AR-846">https://archivespace.atlassian.net/browse/AR-846</a> [4] External IDs should be editable. ( AppConfig[show_external_ids] only shows IDs if they already somehow exist, it doesn't allow you to edit External IDs if one doesn't already exist. ) And on the 'features' question, I noted that 1 & 2 above are not (I believe) listed in any of the epics. That list of JIRA Epics was very useful. I up-voted and commented on a couple of issues as I reviewed them, and it's handy to have them grouped together like that.  _____ Concerns on public interface—terminology used not as familiar  _____ • inadequacy of the public interface is preventing full deployment of the application • rights module needs to support granular permission/restriction statements  _____ (1) The job failure notices (or lack thereof) are the worst I've experienced in software. The lack of error logs when jobs fail is incredibly frustrating and leads to a lot of wasted time trying to guess what the problem is. (2) The lack of documentation on ArchivesSpace's requirements beyond valid EAD XML is frustrating and also leads to a lot of time to diagnose a problem. (3) The subject merging feature is also opaque – how does it work? Which subject record is the base record? Can we delete the input objects when a merged record is created?  _____ Inadequacy of the public interface is a concern. Would like to have more specific options like box/folder set as defaults When making changes in siblings in ArchiveSpace, inserting lines needs to be easier  _____ "Inadequacy of the public interface and lack of local public interface solution that is integrated with ArchivesSpace undermines our ability to fully implement the system." "Inadequate support for managing born-digital materials undermines our ability to fully implement the system." _____ Would love to have more, if not all, accession fields transfer over to the resource record.	9/13/2016 3:21 PM
30	not applicable	9/13/2016 1:52 PM
31	We have launched our public site but we are not happy with the functionality or look of the public interface. Eagerly awaiting a re-launch of the public interface.	9/13/2016 1:10 PM
32	Not Applicable	9/13/2016 12:43 PM
33	Public Interface could be improved to make it easier to find collections.	9/13/2016 11:53 AM
34	Unable to export MARC records to our World Share (OCLC) catalog without revising each record with MARC Edit and requesting a batch load from OCLC. Very cumbersome and time consuming. Inability to harvest data using OAI standards.	9/12/2016 7:33 PM
35	Our major "pain point" with ArchivesSpace is that we cannot search across all of our repositories simultaneously, which renders it near useless for reference purposes. We have also found the "reports" module lacking to the point that we no longer attempt to use it.	9/12/2016 5:32 PM
36	The biggest pain point is still the public interface. We have a few issues on the staff side (also with display) but we can work around those. I don't even demo the public interface to classes because it's just too difficult to explain.	9/12/2016 10:20 AM

## Prioritizing Development

37	Inadequacy of the public interface and reporting is preventing full deployment of the application. We need a robust reporting module that can be customized by staff. Also, modification to default using the webpage should not then require staff to modify files on the server. Also, importing of Excel files needs to be enhanced. AT Migration tool should be updated to support 1.5 as there are many still using it and ready to migrate as ASpace has become more "stable". RDE needs to be re-looked/re-imagined as it is a bit klunky and non-intuitive. It also seems to gobble up memory when used.	9/12/2016 8:04 AM
38	Importing information into Resource module and Accession module. The mapping for the current CSV for accession has not been updated in some time, which means it is often hit and miss whether you can make it work. There is no CSV for resources. Any type of import would be good - doesn't have to be limited to CSV. Limited searching on Events - can currently only limit to 1 event - it would be to have a tiered search (this, then that...). Importing additional descriptive information to an existing Resource. Bulk editing - Find/Replace - very limited great if we could improve the number fields covered.	9/9/2016 1:39 AM
39	-Bugs associated with the Rapid Data Entry feature have an impact on the speed with which work can be done, and correcting errors that result from bugs takes up more time than is saved by the feature. - Not being able to merge containers severely limits our ability to clean up the hundreds of false containers created by migrating into the environment using the barcoder plugin. Containers must be able to merge and must retain their associated folder information. - Being able to iterate POSTs through the API is something this institution solved, but is a possible pain point for other institutions.	9/7/2016 4:43 PM
40	We find it much harder to sort, filter, and, generally, find records in the staff interface than we did in Archivist's Toolkit because we cannot add fields we need to the browse screens. We would like the ability to add fields such as creator, inclusive dates, whole extent, etc. Toolkit had a great deal of flexibility here and we depended on it more than we had realized.	9/7/2016 1:01 PM
41	Need DO import to include batch assignment to components (like AT's NYU plugin); EAD import/export errors prevent migration of legacy data and use of the EAD in our institution's finding aid portal	9/7/2016 9:39 AM
42	N/A although we are learning to work around a lot of things.	9/6/2016 1:31 PM
43	Lack of bulk edit/delete options for some data points; public interface user experience not ideal; background job functionality is limited; lack of needed reports for statistics tracking; EAD export labels/markup can be problematic for OAC upload; ASpace member documentation could be better fleshed out and arranged	8/31/2016 7:26 PM
44	Inadequacy of the public interface is preventing full deployment of application (component tree still won't load). Cannot add top containers to extents since upgrading to 1.5.1, therefore cannot add extents at all.	8/30/2016 5:14 PM
45	Problems with public interface: When searching by name in Public interface, agents associated with components display no related collections. Classifications in the public interface do not display with its description.	8/30/2016 1:46 PM
46	not applicable	8/30/2016 1:32 PM
47	In the midst of migrating	8/30/2016 1:07 PM
48	Definitely this- "the inadequacy of the public interface is preventing full deployment of the application" This is huge problem for our organization.	8/30/2016 12:14 PM
49	Our biggest hurdle has been optimizing our EAD prior to import to take full advantage of ArchivesSpace data model. Our most pressing concern is the development of the public interface as we would like to it as soon as available. Any resources created in ArchivesSpace cannot be made available in the current interface.	8/30/2016 10:33 AM
50	The public interface redesign needs to be completed and deployed to make the application fully operational. Subjects don't have any syndetic structure and this prevents you from making references between subjects in ArchivesSpace. There are a lot of things missing. For example, you can't record geolocation information. The same thing holds for controlled value lists. For example, there is no way to link a URI to a value which prevents linked data exports. Large, itemized descriptions still experience loading performance issues. ArchivesSpace does not work in Chrome at times for one of our curators. Searching for collections cross repository is not possible and this makes reference use difficult.	8/29/2016 1:02 PM
51	The inability to print a single accession record has been the greatest barrier for implementation given our practice as an institution. The csv import for accessions has also been very difficult to navigate as a lot of the errors are not easily deciphered.	8/26/2016 6:22 PM
52	The public interface is confusing for patrons (clicking through components as they are currently displayed is a particular problem).	8/26/2016 3:04 PM
53	When importing records need some way to reference an existing authority for a subject/agent in the ead (even if the imported ead is not dacs-compliant) being referenced. i.e. need to be able batch import ead without needing to de-dupe subject terms.	8/25/2016 4:53 PM
54	Not Applicable. We'd like to migrate to ArchivesSpace in 2017 if it has enough functionality for us by then. After migrating our data in 2015 and testing the software, we decided to stay with AT for a while longer because AS didn't yet meet our needs for reporting and staff-view information. Looking forward to seeing what the next year will bring to ArchivesSpace.	8/25/2016 3:20 PM
55	Not Applicable.	8/25/2016 2:44 PM
56	I assume "Collection Management" above actually means the "Application Management" epic. As for pain points, fixing the bugs might go a long way to alleviating the pain...!	8/25/2016 12:20 PM
57	1. Working Reports 2. The ability to open up an existing child structure in RDE and be able to batch edit it. For example: If you accidentally set all the types to Box and need to change them to folder instead, using RDE seems like it would be easier than attempting to use the bulk change feature in background jobs (which doesn't have the ability to change every type of field in a record)	8/25/2016 12:07 PM
58	we need a folder labels printing option (or at least CSV importer for resource components); inadequate public interface	8/24/2016 1:43 PM
59	Rights Module is a current pain point—we know that's changing, but we'd like it to be PREMIS-compliant and machine-actionable. Not exactly pain points, but the documentation seems to be generally lacking (e.g., the intended use of some fields is not always clear) and RDE could be improved (it's buggy and unreliable and templates could be improved).	8/23/2016 10:37 AM
60	Still waiting eagerly for the new public interface. Would like reports to be more user friendly.	8/22/2016 9:50 AM
61	We'd like the rights module to be more in line with PREMIS. The extent calculator only creates linear extents, we'd like to calculate cubic feet based on container profiles. Public Interface is currently lacking, and prevents our use of it. Not great support for describing audiovisual materials. EAC-CPF functionality is lacking.	8/17/2016 9:10 AM
62	A major pain point is the inability to edit in "rapid entry mode." It would be a huge step forward to be able to open all of the children and siblings and associated metadata (extents, instances, etc.) created via rapid entry in order to edit them. As it stands, clean up is a beast.	8/16/2016 9:35 AM
63	The PDF export is not consistent and often data is missing. The import function sometimes doesn't work - even if the XML is validated. I would like to be able to link resources that are related. I would like to be able to report certain statistics about the collection (such as number of collections, accessions, total linear and cubic feet, total number of boxes, etc.)	8/15/2016 4:02 PM
64	Public interface needs to be easily customizable.	8/15/2016 3:18 PM



## Prioritizing Development

65	- The staff interface makes searching something between extremely difficult/confusing and utterly impossible. - ASpace's Reports are bad enough that we must decide how much customization/plugin work we can afford to invest in before it just becomes more worthwhile to handle them outside of the application entirely (via a shadow database or something). - The import limitations / mistranslations--along with the cumbersome-ness of entering data into the Accession and Resource record modules directly--make it difficult to decide what is the least inefficient and/or error-prone method of getting collections into ArchivesSpace. (None of the options seem that good.)	8/15/2016 3:03 PM
66	*Not happy with efficiency of data creation for archival objects within ASpace (our use of RDE seems to run into various issues); nor creating metadata outside of ASpace and then importing & merging. *Would like DO to included metadata from the Resource Record/AO when spawned. *Would like the Agents module to be fully EAC compliant.	8/15/2016 2:32 PM
67	Public interface is an issue with our deployment. The new "Top Container" setting has also caused confusion.	8/15/2016 1:57 PM
68	Not applicable	8/15/2016 1:56 PM
69	Inability to import finding aid box lists as csv into existing resource. Inability to expand subnote data entry boxes in staff interface. Need to click too many times to find box location information.	8/15/2016 1:45 PM
70	the way that containers are nested/stacked does not seem logical; an interface with subject/agent databases themselves to add as accurately in collection files (LCNAF, etc)	8/15/2016 1:44 PM
71	To use your words, "the inadequacy of the public interface is preventing full deployment of the application."	8/12/2016 10:11 AM
72	General slowness of application; re-indexing issues; application crashes frequently (at least once/week); inability to import spreadsheets for container lists (we have a workaround for this); inability to customize staff interface through GUI (labels, value list translations, facets, advanced search, etc.); reports framework too cumbersome; difficulty making batch edits in the staff interface (without using the API); collection management and events framework doesn't align with workflow tracking needs.	8/10/2016 10:55 AM
73	The inability to upload CSVs with detailed fields is preventing us from implementing ArchivesSpace as quickly as we would like.	8/5/2016 9:50 AM
74	EAD uploads although we are working at present to use a solution provided by AS	8/4/2016 9:42 AM
75	Better public interface. Better way to manage/merge agents. Solr snapshots take too much space. Integration into repositories.	8/1/2016 11:29 AM
76	1. We are not currently able to report on our resources and accessions by processing, cataloged, or finding aid status. None of our reports function at all (v. 1.4.2). We also need to be able to generate a list of our resources and accessions sorted by extent. 2. Due to the current structure of our container and location data (migrated from AT), a significant investment of resources is required to clean up our database to make use of the new container management model. It would work much better for us if this were an optional plug-in to the next version that we could move into when ready. Although we really like the new model, moving our data into it now will create more work for us in the long run.	7/28/2016 7:21 PM
77	The public interface definitely needs some work. It hasn't prevented us from deployment, but it is a confusing interface, especially for researchers who are accustomed to paper or PDF finding aids.	7/27/2016 2:19 PM
78	Not Applicable	7/27/2016 1:49 PM
79	The lack of a CSV importer at the resource level is limiting our ability to add certain records. Not being able to view all resource/accession records at once makes it very difficult to assign new numbers.	7/27/2016 12:53 PM
80	I'm using an older instance, so I think most of my pain points would be resolved in an upgrade. However, I will say that the public interface is extremely concerning to me. It's not preventing deployment, but it is going to be difficult to work with.	7/27/2016 12:28 PM
81	We are waiting to make AS public until the public interface is user-friendly and search results are cleaner.	7/27/2016 12:12 PM
82	ArchivesSpace background job importers assume archives have their records in an XML format. We had to jump through a lot of hoops to get data into ArchivesSpace that wasn't already formatted in an XML format. Our process entailed converting csv files to JSON then using the API to import and connect records. I'm sure a lot of archives are coming from Filemaker, Access, homegrown data management tools where csv/xls is the primary data format. Additionally, RDE is truly difficult to use, even with substantial training, and not suitable for creating large (>100) volumes of records. We feel that ArchivesSpace exporters are far too EAD-centric. Lack of item-level integration with ILS applications is a huge pain point. It's essential to have a MARCXML (or even MARC21) export option for resource components. Here again, we're cobbling together scripts to take ArchivesSpace item-level JSON and convert it to an ILS-ready format. As long as we're being honest, I'm a little disappointed that ArchivesSpace wasn't built in an established content management framework (e.g. Drupal) and leverage its enormous developer community to solve very basic UX problems such as sorting and weighting in the staff interface, user account self-management, single sign-on, building and saving custom searches, user tagging ("shopping cart"/save-for-later) on the public interface, considerably lower technical overhead for running imports and creating reports, etc., etc. Additionally, there would be a clear roadmap for integrating with digital repositories (i.e. Islandora).	7/27/2016 11:52 AM

## Prioritizing Development

**Q6 Please indicate any features your organization would like to see implemented that are not yet included in any of the epics. Enter "None at this time" if your repository has not yet identified additional features it would want in ArchivesSpace.**

Answered: 82 Skipped: 0

#	Responses	Date
1	LYRASIS needs to support more training in advanced use of the system, and provide more accurate and up-to-date documentation (mapping, migration tools, etc.) that keep up with the different versions.	9/27/2016 10:15 AM
2	None at this time	9/16/2016 7:54 PM
3	The "Application Management" epic was included in the survey announcement, but is not listed in the survey here. Those issues are also a priority for us.	9/16/2016 7:35 PM
4	- The resource display on the public interface is not visually appealing.	9/16/2016 7:33 PM
5	None at this time	9/16/2016 6:10 PM
6	None at this time	9/16/2016 5:55 PM
7	As an archivist I want to know what collection / Resource number an archival object belongs to by having the Resource ID display in search results and when I open the component.	9/16/2016 4:09 PM
8	Most of our biggest issues reflect what other institutions have already discovered and reported to Archives Space. We are grateful most of these issues are being addressed.	9/16/2016 4:05 PM
9	We would like to see more granular user permissions. i.e. it would be helpful for our students employees to be able to delete archival objects but NOT the entire resource records. Additionally, to be able to print to PDF without access to the entire background jobs function. We would like to see the Collection Management epic clarified and improved as it relates to events.	9/16/2016 3:49 PM
10	We need to import our inventories (box / folder lists) for our 1,658 resource records (we just completed adding all the front matter for these records into ASpace this week). It would be "super" helpful if I could import those inventories via a CSV. Right now my current plan is to use enter the inventories into Excel (our method for the last few years), copy and paste into a "dummy" EAD, import the EAD into ASpace, and merge the subsequent resource record into the existing resource record that already contains the front matter. It would be great if we could eliminate some of these steps by only importing the CSV. One of the reasons I'm not keen to use RDE for these legacy collections is that many of them are in Word, and it will be easier to copy and paste from Word to Excel because of the formatting, and because many of our collections are upwards of 100 page boxes. Thanks for your consideration! And please feel free to contact me with any questions or suggestions.	9/16/2016 3:08 PM
11	None at this time	9/16/2016 2:43 PM
12	None at this time	9/16/2016 2:14 PM
13	It would be helpful to have an inclusive date calculator, similar to the "calculate extent" functionality that already exists. It would be helpful to make this feature available at the collection, record group, series, and sub-series level. It would also be helpful to have more bulk editing options for archival objects in a resource record. For example the ability to highlight, or check off a large number of archival objects in an inventory and designate them all as either published or unpublished, instead of needing to do it one by one. In the public interface, it would be helpful to have a "preview" feature that would allow a user to see what a record will look like when displayed in the public interface before actually publishing it.	9/16/2016 12:03 PM
14	None at this time	9/16/2016 11:48 AM
15	The Epics have identified all of our issues.	9/16/2016 11:17 AM
16	1. Need improvement to the browse capability of the classifications. They need to display in number order and display the hierarchy more seamlessly. 2. When an "agent" is in the role of a subject, any assigned terms and subdivisions need to display on the public side.	9/16/2016 10:43 AM
17	None at this time	9/16/2016 10:01 AM
18	None at this time.	9/16/2016 8:46 AM
19	Connecting to Aeon	9/16/2016 4:19 AM
20	I would like to see, for the ASpace staff interface, development of a module having functionalities similar to that of AT Reference.	9/15/2016 5:52 PM
21	None at this time	9/15/2016 4:16 PM
22	It would be fantastic to have an assessment module and a complete development gem. Also, this is not a feature, but it would also help us if there were documented and well-understood processes for handling external code contributions, large and small.	9/15/2016 3:03 PM
23	-Accession records added to existing resources do not automatically populate or add to fields in the associated resource record. -As an Archivist and User, it is confusing in the public view when the creator, source, or subject role is not displayed with each agent.	9/15/2016 12:17 PM
24	1. Better development of batch editing. 2. would really like to be able to SAVE and set defaults on browse record types. Would also like to be able to search by unique identifier.	9/15/2016 10:24 AM
25	None at this time	9/15/2016 9:30 AM
26	Improved user management	9/13/2016 4:43 PM
27	The assessment module is in the plug-in epic, and we are interested in seeing that developed. We would also be interested in functionality that allows for the accessioning of electronic records, with the description going directly into ASpace, along the lines of our previous TAPER project <a href="http://sites.tufts.edu/dca/about-us/research-initiatives/taper-tufts-accessioning-program-for-electronic-records/">http://sites.tufts.edu/dca/about-us/research-initiatives/taper-tufts-accessioning-program-for-electronic-records/</a> .	9/13/2016 4:28 PM
28	None at this time	9/13/2016 4:18 PM

## Prioritizing Development

29	<p>Would like to have a staff interface with more tabs at the top instead of having to always scroll down—would like it to look more like Archon. Also, very sorry that AT terms won out over more user/staff friendly Archon terms. Need for an error log when something does not import correctly.</p> <p>Concerns: 1 Digital Objects 2 Imports 3 Exports 4 Application Management 5 Staff Interface On the one hand, the problems in 1/2/3 are blockers, so they are a high priority, while issues in 4/5 are annoyances (and some examples included in the 'pain points' ) but are not stopping anything from getting done. On the other hand, I'm currently working on fixes for some of the 1/2/3 problems and I will be making push requests to get some of them included in the next release, while since 4/5 are not as urgent, I don't expect to spend any time on them in the near future. However, even if I do most of the work, giving the blockers a higher priority might get them more attention and discussion when I push my fixes upstream.</p> <p>The only comment I have is a concern about the system's apparent inability to upload related content about a collection, such as dealer descriptions, inventories, images &amp; other 'metadata' accompanying the manuscript/collection on its arrival here. If this is indeed the case, it strikes me as a very serious flaw in ASpace—perhaps indicative of decisions made some time ago NOT to permit such functionality, or of the issue not being fully considered. In either case, I would strongly urge that the issue be (re)visited before ASpace falls too far behind the sort of functionality we now expect from relational databases/information management systems.</p> <p>* need to be able to attach documents (deeds of gift, rights transfers, etc.) to accessions/resource records * needs to interact with SIRSI on a granular level—i.e. purchasing, circulation, etc. as well as seamless exporting/use of data to support MARC</p>	9/13/2016 3:21 PM
30	In general, we would like to see a version of AS released that does not have the Yale container management plug-in embedded into the core code, yet retains any bug fixes made with v 1.5.	9/13/2016 1:52 PM
31	None at this time	9/13/2016 1:10 PM
32	None at this time	9/13/2016 12:43 PM
33	none at this time	9/13/2016 11:53 AM
34	Ability to store digital objects and apply METs or other metadata. Easier and better customization of field selections.	9/12/2016 7:33 PM
35	We need a way to batch edit container lists and a way to export a customized accession record (i.e., only some of the fields with specific headers and footers). We would also like to see the location field displayed directly on accession/resource records instead of having to click through to a different page.	9/12/2016 5:32 PM
36	none at this time.	9/12/2016 10:20 AM
37	Assessment module like AT had needed. More specific control over user privileges. Adding Collection management to reports. Accessibility- color contrast is problematic.	9/12/2016 8:04 AM
38	Configurable import path for resources - and ability to create our own and vary it according to type of resource we want. Configurable reports (and don't tell us to use Jasper! - Reports for dummy's please).	9/9/2016 1:39 AM
39	- Text windows in the Edit mode should automatically size to the amount of text already in them. Trying to read large paragraphs or scan text for errors is impossible in these small windows. - The inability to edit a finding aid as a whole is a pain point for this institution because of long-standing data clean up efforts. The architecture of the system is robust, and the API is a powerful tool that we are comfortable using, but it eliminates the ability to bring every component of a single collection onto a single screen. Exporting to EAD allows for the types of changes necessary, but if any other record type was linked to the record, these connections are lost by going from AS > EAD > AS through the traditional export/import method. All container information is similarly disassociated. AS is making us think outside our traditional box, but doing a GET for the resource record and then every one of its components is a massive undertaking when compared to just editing a single EAD file, and still does not allow the archivist to run a Regular Expression or XSLT on the entire finding aid at once. We would like to request some critical thinking on how an archivist could enact changes on a single collection on a single screen without breaking the increasingly complex system of linked components, containers, events, subjects, etc. It is significant that it be for one collection at a time because legacy data is highly variable, but often conforms to patterns within a collection. As a use case, imagine a collection with 400 archival objects, each of which has a highly specific problem (say the titles are pre-pended with 1) from a legacy finding aid). Previously, the archivist could export to EAD from AT, and would be able to do a simple find and replace or regex on all 400 titles at once. Re-importing was not an undue burden compared to the benefits. The round-trip would take 15 minutes at the most. Is there a more robust way to round-trip EAD into and out of AS without losing links? This seems like an old-fashioned way to think of the problem. What about an export like the EAD export that does not have to conform to EAD, such as a JSON file that can be easily re-ingested and not lose record links? Or an advanced edit view from within the interface that would allow an archivist to enact changes across every component linked to a resource URI? Your attention to this would be much appreciated.	9/7/2016 4:43 PM
40	I think there's already enough in the icebox for the moment!	9/7/2016 1:01 PM
41	Need home for Assessments data	9/7/2016 9:39 AM
42	None at this time.	9/6/2016 1:31 PM
43	None at this time.	8/31/2016 7:26 PM
44	Just waiting on the improved public user interface.	8/30/2016 5:14 PM
45	none at this time	8/30/2016 1:46 PM
46	none at this time	8/30/2016 1:32 PM
47	None at this time	8/30/2016 1:07 PM
48	Table view of the database modules (resources and accessions) - similar to Access. When you delete a component, it collapses all others.	8/30/2016 12:14 PM
49	None at this time (although there are many we support within the current epics).	8/30/2016 10:33 AM
50	1. Subject related issues (Cory will need to help me explain these) 2. Ability to generate linked data records	8/29/2016 1:02 PM
51	None at this time.	8/26/2016 6:22 PM
52	Sorting components on the staff side. Not being able to sort components (say chronologically) means we have to enter some contents lists in Excel, sort, upload, and merge in ASpace, several steps we would like to avoid.	8/26/2016 3:04 PM
53	None at this time	8/25/2016 4:53 PM
54	None at this time.	8/25/2016 3:20 PM
55	We are testing out how to add digital objects to ArchivesSpace. It would be nice if rather than having to link to an object, the object itself could be added to ArchivesSpace. (I understand that it's not meant to be a DAMS, but if that is something that could happen in the future it would be appreciated).	8/25/2016 2:44 PM
56	None at this time	8/25/2016 12:20 PM

## Prioritizing Development

57	The ability to re-size (i.e. make the text box bigger) in Note fields both when creating a note and after the record has been saved. We try to proof records prior to them going "live" to the public (I know, we like to live on the edge ;-). It would be much easier to review records if we were able to make the text box of note fields larger on the fly (kinda like this box I'm filling out now). Scope and Biography notes can get kinda wordy and only being able to see two lines at a time is very aggravating.... maybe this should be a pain point??	8/25/2016 12:07 PM
58	None at this time	8/24/2016 1:43 PM
59	None at this time, but we have tossed around the idea of some mechanism for reviewing changes to resources. We have some ideas about this if you're interested. By the way, we had ranked the "Application Management" epic fairly highly, but it's not on this survey.	8/23/2016 10:37 AM
60	Would like to import a csv file/inventory into rapid data entry template.	8/22/2016 9:50 AM
61	None at this time (although it doesn't look like the PUI is included in the epics).	8/17/2016 9:10 AM
62	OAI-PMH or api to include in universal search ILS's, such as Summon.	8/16/2016 9:35 AM
63	None at this time	8/15/2016 4:02 PM
64	None at this time.	8/15/2016 3:18 PM
65	None at this time.	8/15/2016 3:03 PM
66	None at this time.	8/15/2016 2:32 PM
67	None at this time	8/15/2016 1:57 PM
68	None at this time	8/15/2016 1:56 PM
69	A print to pdf option on the public user interface.	8/15/2016 1:45 PM
70	direct alignment with Digital Repositories like DSpace without the need for APIs or other tricks to align the materials	8/15/2016 1:44 PM
71	Further integration with CollectionSpace- we're working on shared authorities, but being able to describe a collection once in full (scope & contents including artifacts that went in both dbs) would be super cool. But I can't imagine it's in high demand.	8/12/2016 10:11 AM
72	None at this time. Most of our desired features are included somewhere in the epics.	8/10/2016 10:55 AM
73	1. As an Archivist, I'd like to make the classification tree collapse. We'd like all the sub classifications to collapse under the associated classification. 2. As an Archivist, I want to rearrange the display headings. For example, we want the option to make the order Notes, Dates, Extents, Agent, Summary, Components. 3. I want to increase the number of results per page in public view.	8/5/2016 9:50 AM
74	None at this time	8/4/2016 9:42 AM
75	Rapid Editor for MODIFYING resources.	8/1/2016 11:29 AM
76	We would like to unpublish our repository from the public interface. <a href="https://archivesspace.atlassian.net/browse/AR-1228">https://archivesspace.atlassian.net/browse/AR-1228</a>	7/28/2016 7:21 PM
77	I'd like events to be able to be anonymous and undated. This would accommodate legacy data for events like processing for which repositories may or may not have accurate information.	7/27/2016 2:19 PM
78	None at this time	7/27/2016 1:49 PM
79	The ability to change the number of records displayed on a page -- e.g., sort resources by ID and display 100 at a time, rather than 10. The LCNAF plugin currently imports all the names/headings in a record, including the "use for" ones -- that is, headings that should not be used. The plugin should be changed to only import names and headings in valid fields.	7/27/2016 12:53 PM
80	I can't recall if this is included or not, but it would be extremely helpful to be able to add siblings/children to a resource record directly underneath the component you select. So, if I had a list of 20 components, and I wanted to add a component so that it would appear 3rd on the list, I could highlight the 2nd component and click "add sibling," and the new component would appear directly below the component I highlighted, rather than at the end of the list.	7/27/2016 12:28 PM
81	None at this time	7/27/2016 12:12 PM
82	Listserv full text search needs to be implemented as soon as possible (Swish-e is trivial to setup). A modern, coherent authentication strategy (including password policies, user account self-management, SAML/SSO); promoting csv to a first-class import option; start writing a spec for ILS integration. Not sure what the status of digital repository integration is these days but this we'd like to see prominent discussion in this area.	7/27/2016 11:52 AM